THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No :24541 of 2006

DATED:26-06-2007

BETWEEN:

Ahmed Begum & 3 others.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Circle Inspector of Police,
C.I.D.,
Women Protection Cell,
Cyberabad,
A.C.Guards,
Hyderabad & 2 others.

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as the learned Government Pleader for Home appearing for the respondents.

2. Petitioners seek a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in harassing the petitioners calling them to C.I.D. Women Protection Cell Police Station, Cyberabad for settlement of civil claim of one Padmavathi in connection with F.I.R.No.733 of 2006 of police station, Uppal and insisting them to produce Younus, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. It is the case of the petitioners that pursuant to registration of the case against them in F.I.R.No.733 of 2006 under Section 498-A IPC on the file of the Uppal police station on a complaint made by A.Padmavathi petitioners obtained bails but even after their release on bail police are illegally interfering with their personal life and liberty, calling them to police station, and pressurizing to settle the issue by paying a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the said complainant. It is alleged that the said complainant married the brother of the petitioners 2 to 4, and the son of the 1st petitioner. It is stated that to produce the said Mohammed Younus who alleged to have been married the said Padmavathi police are pressurizing the petitioners and insisting to pay a sum of

Rs.50,000/-.

4. In the counter all the allegations made in the affidavit were denied stating that except making enquiries with regard to the pending crime petitioners were never called to the police station and insisted to pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the said Padmavathi.

5. It is stated that the said Mohammed Younus came back to India and has applied for regular bail. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and in view of the specific denial of the allegations no direction can be issued in this Writ Petition to decide the disputed questions of facts.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

V.ESWARAIAH,J

26th June, 2007.
Tsy